Arizona Supreme Court

Civil Petition for Review - Appeal

CV-24-0139-PR

KARAM v MERCURY CASUALTY COMPANY

Appellate Case Information			□ Dept/Composition	Ξ
• •			Dopa Composition	
Case Filed:	1-Ju1-2024	Archive on: 14-Aug-2034 (planned)		
Case Closed:	14-Aug-2024			

Side 1. ROSE A. KARAM, Plaintiff/Appellant

(Litigant Group) ROSE A. KARAM

• Rose A Karam PRO SE

Side 2. MERCURY CASUALTY COMPANY, Defendant/Appellee

(Litigant Group) MERCURY CASUALTY COMPANY

Mercury Casualty Company

Attorneys for: Defendant/Appellee

Matthew G Kleiner, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 24275) Steven Crocchi, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 35815)

CASE STATUS

Aug 14, 2024....Decision Rendered

PREDECE	ESSOR CASE(S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <comments></comments>	Trial	Dispo
2 CA	2 CA-CV 23-0112					
♥ PIM	C20211706			Kellie L Johnson, Judge on PC Comments: (none)		

CASE DECISION

14-Aug-2024 ORDER

* On May 31, 2024, the Court of Appeals issued a memorandum decision in this matter. On July 1, 2024, this Court received a filing from Appellant titled "Petition for Review" containing none of the information required by Arizona Rule of Civil Appellate P

Filed:	14-Aug-2024	Mandate:
Decision Disposition		
Dismissed		

Tracie Lindeman

		3 PROCEEDING ENTRIES
1.	1-Jul-2024	FILED: Petition for Review (Treated as a Notice of Appeal); Certificate of Service; Certificate of Compliance; Memorandum Decision (Appellant Karam, Pro Se)
2.	3-Jul-2024	SENT: Letter to Appellant Karam Re Notice of Appeal
3.	14-Aug-2024	On May 31, 2024, the Court of Appeals issued a memorandum decision in this matter. On July 1, 2024, this Court received a filing from Appellant titled "Petition for Review" containing none of the information required by Arizona Rule of Civil Appellate Procedure 23(d) and merely stating that "an amendment to this petition for review is forthcoming." This Court notified Appellant on July 3, 2024, that a motion for an extension of time or a petition for review was due on or before August 1, 2024, and that failure to file a motion or petition by that date, could result in dismissal of this matter. Appellant not having filed either a motion for extension of time or a petition, IT IS ORDERED dismissing this matter. (Tracie K. Lindeman, Clerk)